function

Yue 3D *I*

 $\overline{}$

Audio Programs

[1] Druyvesteyn and Garas, JAES, 1997

Generating high-isolation sound zones

With "in-situ", individualized measurements…

Practical PSZ system However, such measurements are practically infeasible...

Binaural microphones

How to achieve high isolation in practical PSZ systems without in-situ measurements?

Proposed approach: Decoupling the binaural room transfer function (BRTF)

[3] Wendt et al., JAES, 2014.

Binaural reproduction with Ambisonics

Plane-wave density function at head center

Example: reproducing sound field at the left ear

$$
p_L(k) = \int_{\Omega} a(k, \Omega) h^L(k, \Omega) d\Omega = \sum_{n,m} [a
$$

Proposed workflow for BRTF synthesis & PSZ generation

Individual loudspeakers

Capture at listening position

Individualized measurements

Practical issue with (basic) Ambisonics

- For perfect reconstruction, $n = \infty$ is required in
- However, the order is limited by # of microphones, $N \leq (Q + 1)^2$
- Finite order leads to errors in BRTF estimation, proportional to (kr)

Bilateral Ambisonics to the rescue!

- Firstly introduced as "binaural B-format"^[4]
- Later generalized to arbitrary SH order^[5]

$$
p_L(k) = \int_{\Omega} a_L^L(k, \Omega) h_a^L(k, \Omega) d\Omega = \sum_{n,m} \left[\tilde{a}_{nm}^L(k) \right]^* h_{a,n}^L
$$

Plane-wave density function
at the left ear

$$
h_a^L(k, \Omega) = h^L(k, \Omega)e^{-ikr_a \cos\Theta_L}
$$
 HRTF ear alig

PRINCETON 303A

[4] Jot et al., AES Conv., 1998. [5] Ben-Hur et al., IEEE TASLP, 2021.

Experimental validation

- •Compare synthesized BRTFs with in-situ measured BRTFs
- •Evaluate the isolation performance with PSZ filters generated using different BRTFs

In-situ measurement **Microphone array measurement**

- Microphone array (Eigenmike) measured at the two ears
- 4th-order SH representation
- •In-house HRTFs measured for the listener (B&K HATS)[6]

BRTF synthesis with bilateral Ambisonics

Error analysis

Laser alignment HRTF measurement

- Frequency-domain Pressure Matching^[7] with constant regularization ($\beta = 10^{-3}$)
- •Single-channel (mono) target program
- Time-domain truncation of BRTFs to increase filter robustness

PSZ Filter Generation

- Inter-Zone Isolation (IZI)^[8]
- •Equivalent to Acoustic Contrast in this case

[7] Poletti, AES Conv. 125, 2008. [8] Qiao et al., JASA Express Lett., 2022.

Isolation Performance Evaluation

Isolation performance comparison

- Measured
- with binaural microphones
- with "omni channel" of the microphone array
- •Synthesized
- with bilateral Ambisonics (4th order)
- with basic Ambisonics (4th order)

BRTF Candidates

Effects of synthesis parameters

Effect of Ambisonics order

Bilateral Amk • Bilateral Ambisonics is more robust against order decrease and all HRTF

Effects of synthesis parameters

Effect of head width (for HRTF ear-alignment)

Effect of HRTF individualization

• Ear alignment is more important than HRTF individualization ^{|z)}

Conclusion

- •Bilateral Ambisonics offers an effective way to decouple the room and the listener, making high-isolation PSZ more practical to implement
- •Such a decoupling also introduces a multitude of errors, which requires careful compensation

function

Yue 3D *I*

 $\overline{}$

Discussion

- •Potential sources of errors
- •Ambisonics encoding errors from the microphone array, especially at high frequencies
- •HRTF distance mismatch (far-field assumption vs. near-field sources)
- •Position/orientation mismatch between the microphone array and the ears
- •Pros and Cons of bilateral Ambisonics
	- •Pros: higher accuracy with lower orders
	- •Cons: 2x measurement required, difficult for head rotations

